arXiv:0809.0246v1 [math.AP] 1 Sep 2008

OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM FOR EXTREMALS OF THE TRACE INEQUALITY IN DOMAINS WITH HOLES

LEANDRO M. DEL PEZZO

Abstract. We study the Sobolev trace constant for functions defined in a bounded domain Ω that vanish in the subset A. We find a formula for the first variation of the Sobolev trace with respect to hole. As a consequence of this formula, we prove that when Ω is a centered ball, the symmetric hole is critical when we consider deformation that preserve volume but is not optimal for some case.

1. Introduction and Main Results.

Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq 2$ and $1 < p < \infty$. We denote by p_* the critical exponent for the Sobolev trace immersion given by $p_* = p(N-1)/(N-p)$ if $p < N$ and $p_* = \infty$ if $p \geq N$.

For any $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$, which is a smooth open subset, we define the space

$$W^{1,p}_A(\Omega) = \overline{C^\infty_0(\overline{\Omega}\setminus A)},$$

where the closure is taken in $W^{1,p}-$norm. By the Sobolev Trace Theorem, there is a compact embedding

$$W^{1,p}_A(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega), \tag{1.1}$$

for all $1 < q < p^*$. Thus, given $1 < q < p^*$, there exist a constant $C = C(q,p)$ such that

$$C\left\{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^q \,\mathrm{d}S\right\}^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

The best (largest) constant in the above inequality is given by

$$S_q(A) := \inf_{u\in W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)\setminus W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \,\mathrm{d}x}{\left\{\int_{\partial\Omega}|u|^q\,\mathrm{d}S\right\}^{\frac{p}{q}}}. \tag{1.2}$$

By (1.1), there exist an extremal for $S_q(A)$. Moreover, an extremal for $S_q(A)$ is a weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega\setminus\overline{A},\\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial A, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Delta_p u = \mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the usual $p-$laplacian, $\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}$ is the outer unit normal derivative and λ depends on the normalization of u. When $\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ we have that $\lambda = S_q(A)$. Moreover, when $p = q$ problem (1.3) becomes homogeneous and therefore is a nonlinear eigenvalue problem. In this case, the first eigenvalue of (1.3) coincides with the best Sobolev trace constant $S_q(A) = \lambda_1(A)$ and it is shown in [9] that it is simple (see also [3]). Therefore, if $p = q$, the extremal for $S_p(A)$ is unique up to constant factor. In the linear setting, i.e. when $p = q = 2$, this eigenvalue problem is known as the Steklov eigenvalue problem, see [10].

It is the purpose of this article to analyze the dependance of the Sobolev trace constant $S_q(A)$ with respect to variations on the set A. To this end, we compute the so-called *shape derivative* of $S_q(A)$ with respect to regular perturbations of the *hole* A.

Let $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be a regular (smooth) vector filed, globally Lipschitz, with support in Ω and let $\psi_t : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be defined as the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\psi_t(x) = V(\psi_t(x)) & t > 0 \\ \psi_0(x) = x & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

We have

$$\psi_t(x) = x + tV(x) + o(t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Now, we define $A_t := \psi_t(A) \subset \Omega$ for all $t > 0$ and

$$S_q(t) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}_{A_t}(\Omega) \setminus W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \,\mathrm{d}x}{\left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^q \,\mathrm{d}S \right\}^{\frac{p}{q}}}. \tag{1.5}$$

Observe that $A_0 = A$ and therefore $S_q(0) = S_q(A)$.

In [2] Fernández Bonder, Groisman and Rossi analyze this problem in the linear case $p = q = 2$ and prove that $S_2(t)$ is differentiable with respect to t at $t = 0$ and it holds

$$\frac{d}{dt}S_2(t)\Big|_{t=0} = -\int_{\partial A} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^2 \langle V, \nu\rangle \,\mathrm{d}S,$$

where u is a normalized eigenfunction for $S_2(A)$ and ν is the exterior normal vector to $\Omega \setminus \overline{A}$.

Furthermore, in the case that Ω is the ball B_R with center 0 and radius $R > 0$ the authors show that a centered ball $A = B_r$, $r < R$, is critical in the sense that $S_2'(A) = 0$ when considering deformations that preserves volume and that this configuration is not optimal.

We say that hole A^* is optimal for the parameter α, $0 < \alpha < |\Omega|$, if $|A^*| = \alpha$ and

$$S_q(A^*) = \inf_{\substack{A \subset \overline{\Omega} \\ |A| = \alpha}} S_q(A).$$

Therefore there is a lack of symmetry in the optimal configuration.

Here we extend these results to the more general case $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < q < p^*$. Our method differs from the one in [2] in order to deal with the nonlinear character of the problem.

Our first result states

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ is a smooth open subset and let $1 < q < p^*$. Then, with the previous notation, we have that $S_q(t)$ is differentiable at $t = 0$ and there exists u a normalized extremal for $S_q(A)$ such that*

$$S_q'(0) = -\int_{\partial A} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^p \langle V, \nu\rangle \,\mathrm{d}S,$$

where $S_q'(0) = \frac{d}{dt}S_q(t)\Big|_{t=0}$ and ν is the exterior normal vector to $\Omega \setminus \overline{A}$.

Remark 1.2. *If u is an extremal for $S_q(A)$ we have that $|u|$ is also an extremal associated to $S_q(A)$. Then in the previous theorem we can suppose that $u \geq 0$ in Ω. Moreover, by* [8], *we have that $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and if Ω satisfies the interior ball condition for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ then $u > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, see* [11].

In the case that $\Omega = B_R$, we have the next result

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega = B_R$ and let the hole be a centered ball $A = B_r$. Then, if $1 < q \leq p$, this configuration is critical in the sense that $S_q'(B_r) = 0$ for all deformations V that preserve the volume of B_r.*

But, if q is sufficiently large, the symmetric hole with a radial extremal is not an optimal configuration. In fact, we prove

Theorem 1.4. *Let $r > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$ be fixed. Let $R > r$ and*

$$Q(R) = \frac{1}{S_p(B_r)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\left(1 - \frac{N-1}{R} S_p(B_r)\right) + 1. \tag{1.6}$$

If $q > Q(R)$ then the centered hole B_r is not optimal.

Finally, to study the asymptotic behavior of $Q(R)$

Proposition 1.5. *The function $Q(R)$ has the following asymptotic behavior*

$$\lim_{R\to r} Q(R) = 1^- \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{R\to +\infty} Q(R) = p$$

Observe that $Q(R) < 1$ for R close to r and therefore the symmetric hole with a radial extremal is not an optimal configuration for R close to r.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

2.1. Preliminary Results.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 require some technical results. In this subsection we use some ideas from [4].

Given $u \in W^{1,p}_{A_t}(\Omega) \setminus W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ we consider $v = u \circ \psi_t$, so $v \in W^{1,p}_A(\Omega) \setminus W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and $\nabla v^T = {}^T\psi_t' \nabla(u \circ \psi_t)^T$, where ψ_t' denotes the differential matrix of ψ_t and ${}^T A$ is the transpose of matrix A. Thus, by the change of variables formula, we have that

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega \{|{}^T[\psi_t']^{-1} \nabla v^T|^p + |v|^p\} J(\psi_t) \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

here $J(\psi_t)$ is the usual Jacobian of ψ_t. Moreover, since $\operatorname{supp}(V) \subset \Omega$, we have that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^q \, \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^q \, \mathrm{d}S.$$

In [5] are proved the following asymptotic formulas

$$[\psi_t']^{-1}(x) = Id - tV'(x) + o(t), \tag{2.7}$$

$$J(\psi_t)(x) = 1 + t \operatorname{div} V(x) + o(t). \tag{2.8}$$

Then, by (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |v|^p J(\psi_t) \, \mathrm{d}x &= \int_\Omega |v|^p \{1 + t \operatorname{div} V + o(t)\} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_\Omega |v|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + t \int_\Omega |v|^p \operatorname{div} V \, \mathrm{d}x + o(t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |{}^T[\psi_t']^{-1}\nabla v^T|^p J(\psi_t)\,\mathrm{d}x &= \int_\Omega |[Id - t\,{}^T V' + o(t)]\nabla v^T|^p\{1 + t\,\mathrm{div}V + o(t)\}\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &= \int_\Omega |\nabla v - t\,{}^T V'\nabla v^T + o(t)|^p\{1 + t\,\mathrm{div}V + o(t)\}\,\mathrm{d}x,\end{aligned}$$

since

$$|\nabla v - t\,{}^T V'\nabla v^T + o(t)|^p = |\nabla v|^p - pt|\nabla v_t|^{p-2}\langle\nabla v,\,{}^T V'\nabla v^T\rangle + o(t)$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |{}^T[\psi_t']^{-1}\nabla v^T|^p J(\psi_t)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p\,\mathrm{d}x + t\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p \mathrm{div}V\,\mathrm{d}x\\ - pt\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^{p-2}\langle\nabla v,\,{}^T V'\nabla v^T\rangle\,\mathrm{d}x + o(t).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p\,\mathrm{d}x &= \int_\Omega \{|{}^T[\psi_t']^{-1}\nabla v^T|^p + |v|^p\}J(\psi_t)\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &= \int_\Omega |v|^p\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p\,\mathrm{d}x + t\int_\Omega\{|\nabla v|^p + |v|^p\}\mathrm{div}V\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &- pt\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^{p-2}\langle\nabla v,\,{}^T V'\nabla v^T\rangle\,\mathrm{d}x + o(t).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can rewrite (1.5) as

$$S_q(t) = \inf_{v\in W_A^{1,p}(\Omega)\setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)}\{\rho(v) + t\gamma(v)\} \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\rho(v) = \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p + |v|^p\,\mathrm{d}x}{\left\{\int_{\partial\Omega}|v|^q\,\mathrm{d}S\right\}^{p/q}},$$

and

$$\gamma(v) = \frac{\int_\Omega\{|\nabla v|^p + |v|^p\}\mathrm{div}V\,\mathrm{d}x - p\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^{p-2}\langle\nabla v,\,{}^T V'\nabla v^T\rangle\,\mathrm{d}x}{\left\{\int_{\partial\Omega}|v|^q\,\mathrm{d}S\right\}^{p/q}} + O(t).$$

Given $t \geq 0$, let $v_t \in W_A^{1,p}(\Omega)\setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\|v_t\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ and

$$S_q(t) = \varphi(t) + t\phi(t),$$

where

$$\varphi(t) = \rho(v_t) \text{ and } \phi(t) = \gamma(v_t) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

We observe that $\varphi, \phi : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$ and

Lemma 2.1. *The function ϕ is nonincreasing.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$. By (2.9), we have that

$$\varphi(t_2) + t_1\phi(t_2) \quad\geq\quad S_q(t_1) = \varphi(t_1) + t_1\phi(t_1) \tag{2.10}$$

$$\varphi(t_1) + t_2\phi(t_1) \quad\geq\quad S_q(t_2) = \varphi(t_2) + t_2\phi(t_2). \tag{2.11}$$

Subtracting (2.10) from (2.11), we get

$$(t_2 - t_1)\phi(t_1) \geq (t_2 - t_1)\phi(t_2).$$

Since $t_2 - t_1 \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\phi(t_1) \geq \phi(t_2).$$

This ends the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.2. *Since ϕ is nonincreasing, we have*

$$\phi(t) \leq \phi(0) \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

and there exists

$$\phi(0^+) = \lim_{t\to 0^+} \phi(t).$$

Corollary 2.3. *The function φ is nondecreasing.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$. Again, by (2.9), we have that

$$\varphi(t_2) + t_1\phi(t_2) \geq S_q(t_1) = \varphi(t_1) + t_1\phi(t_1) \tag{2.12}$$

so

$$\varphi(t_2) - \varphi(t_1) \geq t_1(\phi(t_1) - \phi(t_2)).$$

Since $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$, by Lemma 2.1, we have that $\phi(t_1) - \phi(t_2) \geq 0$. Then

$$\varphi(t_2) - \varphi(t_1) \geq 0$$

that is what we wished to prove. $\square$

Now we can prove that $S_q(t)$ is continuous at $t = 0$.

Theorem 2.4. *The function $S_q(t)$ is continuous at $t = 0$, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} S_q(t) = S_q(0).$$

Proof. Given $t \geq 0$ so, by Corollary 2.3,

$$S_q(t) - Sq(0) = \varphi(t) + t\phi(t) - \varphi(0) \geq t\phi(t).$$

On the other hand, by (2.9), we have that

$$S_q(t) \leq \varphi(0) + t\phi(0) = S_q(0) + t\phi(0).$$

Then

$$t\phi(t) \leq S_q(t) - Sq(0) \leq t\phi(0).$$

Thus, by Remark 2.2,

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} S_q(t) - S_q(0) = 0.$$

This finishes the proof. $\square$

Thus, from Remark 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 2.5. *The function φ is continuous at $t = 0$, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \varphi(t) = \varphi(0).$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\varphi(t) - \varphi(0) = S_q(t) - S_q(0) - t\phi(t)$$

then, by Remark 2.2 and Theorem 2.4,

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \varphi(t) - \varphi(0) = 0.$$

That proves the result. $\square$

Finally, we prove the following:

Theorem 2.6. *The function* φ *is differentiable at* $t=0$ *and*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}t}(0)=0.$$

Proof. Let $0<r<t$. By (2.9), we get

$$S_q(r)=\varphi(r)+r\phi(r)\le\varphi(t)+r\phi(t),$$

and

$$S_q(t)=\varphi(t)+t\phi(t)\le\varphi(r)+t\phi(r).$$

So

$$\frac{r}{t}(\phi(r)-\phi(t))\le\frac{\varphi(t)-\varphi(r)}{t}\le\phi(r)-\phi(t)$$

hence, taking limits when $r\to0^+$, by Remark 2.2 and Corollary 2.1, we have that

$$0\le\frac{\varphi(t)-\varphi(0)}{t}\le\phi(0^+)-\phi(t).$$

Now, taking limits when $t\to0^+$, and again by Remark 2.2, we get

$$\lim_{t\to0^+}\frac{\varphi(t)-\varphi(0)}{t}=0$$

as we wanted to show. □

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We proceed in three steps.

Step 1. We show that $S_q(t)$ is differentiable at $t=0$ and

$$S_q'(0)=\phi(0^+).$$

We have that

$$\frac{S_q(t)-S_q(0)}{t}=\frac{\varphi(t)-\varphi(0)}{t}-\phi(t).$$

Then, by Remark 2.2 and Theorem 2.6,

$$S_q'(0)=\lim_{t\to0^+}\frac{S_q(t)-S_q(0)}{t}=\phi(0^+).$$

Step 2. We show that there exists u extremal for $S_q(A)$ such that $\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}=1$ and

$$\phi(0^+)=\int_\Omega(|\nabla u|^p+|u|^p)\mathrm{div}V\,\mathrm{d}x-p\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\,{}^TV'\nabla u\rangle\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

By Theorem 2.1

$$\|v_t\|^p_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}=\varphi(t)\to\varphi(0)=S_q(0)\text{ when }t\to0^+.\tag{2.13}$$

Then there exists $u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $t_n\to0^+$ when $n\to\infty$ such that

$$v_{t_n}\rightharpoonup u\text{ weakly in }W^{1,p}(\Omega),\tag{2.14}$$

$$v_{t_n}\to u\text{ strongly in }L^q(\partial\Omega),\tag{2.15}$$

$$v_{t_n}\to u\text{ a.e. in }\Omega.\tag{2.16}$$

By (2.15) and (2.16), $u\in W^{1,p}_A(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}=1$ and by (2.14)

$$S_q(0)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\|v_{t_n}\|^p_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}\ge\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}\ge S_q(0),$$

then

$$S_q(0) = \|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}. \tag{2.17}$$

Moreover, by (2.13), (2.14) and (2.17), we have that

$$v_{t_n} \to u \text{ strongly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(0^+) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(v_{t_n}) \\ &= \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^p + |u|^p)\mathrm{div}V \,\mathrm{d}x - p\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u,\ {}^T V' \nabla u^T\rangle \,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Finally, we show that

$$\begin{aligned}S_q'(0) &= \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^p + |u|^p)\mathrm{div}V \,\mathrm{d}x - p\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u,\ {}^T V' \nabla u^T\rangle \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= -\int_{\partial A} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^p \langle V, \nu\rangle \,\mathrm{d}S.\end{aligned}$$

To show this we require that $u \in C^2$. However, this is not true. Since u is an esxtremal for $S_q(A)$ and $\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = 1$, we known that u is weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{A}, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = S_q(A)|u|^{q-2}u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial A, \end{cases}$$

and by [8] we get that u belongs to the class $C^{1,\delta}$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$.

In order to overcome this difficulty, we proced as follows. We consider the regularized prblems

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{(p-2)/2}) + |u^\varepsilon|^{p-2}u^\varepsilon = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{A}, \\ |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{(p-2)/2}\frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} = S_q(A)|u|^{q-2}u & \text{on } \partial(\Omega \setminus \overline{A}), \end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

It is well known that the solution u^ε to (2.18) is of class $C^{2,\rho}$ for some $0 < \rho < 1$ (see [6]).

Then, we can perform all of our computations with the functions u^ε and pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ at the end.

We have chosen to work formally with the function u in order to make our arguments more transparent and leave the details to the reader. For a similar approach, see [4].

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}(|u|^p V) &= |u|^p \mathrm{div}V + p|u|^{p-2}u\langle \nabla u, V\rangle, \\ \mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^p V) &= |\nabla u|^p \mathrm{div}V + p|\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u D^2 u, V\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^p + |u|^p)\mathrm{div}V \,\mathrm{d}x = &\int_\Omega \mathrm{div}(|u|^p V + |\nabla u|^p V)\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &- p\int_\Omega \{|u|^{p-2}u_0\langle \nabla u, V\rangle + |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u D^2 u, uV\rangle\}\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \operatorname{div}(|u|^pV+|\nabla u|^pV)\,\mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\partial\Omega}(|u|^p+|\nabla u|^p)\langle V,\nu\rangle\,\mathrm{d}S-\int_{\partial A}(|u|^p+|\nabla u|^p)\langle V,\nu\rangle\,\mathrm{d}S\\ &= -\int_{\partial A}|\nabla u|^p\langle V,\nu\rangle\,\mathrm{d}S.\end{aligned}$$

where the las equality follows from the fact that $\operatorname{supp}(V)\subset\Omega$ and $u=0$ on ∂A.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}S_q'(0) &= -\int_{\partial A}|\nabla u|^p\langle V,\nu\rangle\,\mathrm{d}S-p\int_\Omega |u|^{p-2}u\langle\nabla u_0,V\rangle\mathrm{d}x\\ &\quad -p\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\ {}^TV'\nabla u+{}^T D^2uV^T\rangle\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &= -\int_{\partial A}|\nabla u|^p\langle V,\nu\rangle\,\mathrm{d}S-p\int_\Omega |u_0|^{p-2}u\langle\nabla u,V\rangle\mathrm{d}x\\ &\quad -p\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\ \nabla(\langle\nabla u,V\rangle)\rangle\,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Since u is a week solution of (1.3) as $\lambda=S_q(0)$ and $\operatorname{supp}(V)\subset\Omega$ we have

$$S_q'(0)=-\int_{\partial A}|\nabla u|^p\langle V,\nu\rangle\,\mathrm{d}S.$$

Then, noticing that $\nabla u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\nu$, the proof is complete. □

3. Lack of Symmetry in the Ball

In this section we consider the case where $\Omega=B_R$ and $A=B_r$ with $r<R$ and show Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.5. The proofs are based on the argument of [2] and [7] adapted to our problem. In order to simplify notations, we write $S_q(r)$ instead $S_q(B_r)$.

First we proof Theorem 1.3, for this we need the following proposition

Proposition 3.1. *Let $1<q<p$. The nonnegative solution of* (1.3) *is unique.*

Proof. Suppose that there exist two nonnegative solutions u and v of (1.3). By Remark 1.2 it follows that $u,\,v>0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Let $v_n=v+\frac{1}{n}$ with $n\in\mathbb{N}$, using first Piccone's identity (see [1]) and the weak formulation of (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\le \int_{B_R}|\nabla u|^p\,\mathrm{d}x-\int_{B_R}|\nabla v_n|^{p-2}\nabla v_n\nabla\left(\frac{u^p}{v_n^{p-1}}\right)\mathrm{d}x\\ &= \int_{B_R}|\nabla u|^p\,\mathrm{d}x-\int_{B_R}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\nabla\left(\frac{u^p}{v_n^{p-1}}\right)\mathrm{d}x\\ &= -\int_{B_R}u^p\,\mathrm{d}x+\lambda\int_{\partial B_R}u^q\,\mathrm{d}S+\int_{B_R}v^{p-1}\frac{u^p}{v_n^{p-1}}\,\mathrm{d}x-\lambda\int_{\partial B_R}v^{q-1}\frac{u^{p-1}}{v_n^{p-1}}\,\mathrm{d}S\\ &\le \lambda\int_{\partial B_R}u^q\,\mathrm{d}S-\lambda\int_{\partial B_R}v^{q-1}\frac{u^{p-1}}{v_n^{p-1}}\,\mathrm{d}S.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\le \int_{\partial B_R} u^q \, \mathrm{d}S - \int_{\partial B_R} v^{q-1} \frac{u^{p-1}}{v^{p-1}} \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &= \int_{\partial B_R} u^q (u^{q-1} - v^{q-1}) \, \mathrm{d}S. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the role of u and v in the above equation are exchangeable. Therefore, subtracting we get

$$0 \le \int_{\partial B_R} (u^q - v^q)(u^{q-1} - v^{q-1}) \, \mathrm{d}S.$$

Since $q < p$ we have that $u \equiv v$ on ∂B_R. Then, by uniqueness of solution to the Dirichlet problem, we get $u \equiv v$ in B_R. □

Remark 3.2. *As the problem* (1.3) *is rotationally invariant, by uniqueness we obtain that the nonnegative solution of* (1.3) *must be radial. Therefore, if* $\Omega = B_R$, $A = B_r$ *and* $1 < q \le p$ *we can suppose that the extremal for* $S_q(r)$ *found in the Theorem 1.1 is nonnegative and radial.*

Now we can prove the Theorem 1.3,

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We consider $\Omega = B_R$, $A = B_r$ and $1 < q \le p$. By Theorem 1.3 and Remark 3.2 there exist a nonnegative and radial normalized extremal for $S_q(r)$ such that

$$S_q'(0) = -\int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^p \langle V, \nu \rangle \, \mathrm{d}S.$$

Since u is radial

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \equiv c \text{ on } \partial B_r,$$

where c is a constant.

Thus, using that we are dealing with deformations V that preserves the volume of the B_r, we have that

$$S_q'(0) = -c^p \int_{\partial B_r} \langle V, \nu \rangle \, \mathrm{d}S = c^p \int_{B_r} div(V) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

□

To prove Theorem 1.4, we need two previous results.

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $r > 0$ *fixed. Then, there exists a positive radial function* u_0 *such that*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2} u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_r. \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

This u_0 *is unique up to a constant factor and for any* $R > r$ *the restriction of* u_0 *to* B_R *is the first eigenfunction of* (1.3) *with* $q = p$.

Proof. For $R > r$, let u_R be the unique solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u_R = |u_R|^{p-2} u_R & \text{in } B_R \setminus \overline{B_r}, \\ u(R) = 1, \\ u(r) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, by uniqueness, u_R is a nonnegative and radial function. Moreover, by the regularity theory and maximum principle we have $\frac{\partial u_R}{\partial \nu}(r) \neq 0$ (see [8, 11]). Thus, for any $R > r$, we define the restriction of u_0 by

$$u_0 = \frac{u_R}{\dfrac{\partial u_R}{\partial \nu}(r)}.$$

By uniqueness of the Dirichlet problem, it is easy to check that u_0 is well defined and is a nonnegative radial solution of (3.19). Furthermore, by the simplicity of $S_p(r)$, u_0 is the eigenfunction associated to $S_p(r)$ for every $R > r$. ☐

Proposition 3.4. *Let v be a radial solution of* (1.3). *Then v is a multiple of u_0. In particular any radial minimizer of* (1.2) *is a multiple of u_0.*

Proof. Let $a > 0$ be such that $v = au_0$ on $\partial B(0,R)$. Then v and au_0 are two solutions to the Dirichlet problem $\Delta_p w = w^{p-1}$ and $w = v$ on $\partial\left(B_R \setminus \overline{B_r}\right)$. Hence, by uniqueness, we have that $v = au_0$ in B_R. ☐

Remark 3.5. *If $1 < q < p$ then the solution of* (1.3), *by Remark 3.2 and Proposition 3, is a multiple of u_0.*

Now we can deal with the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $R > r$ be fixed and consider u_0 to be the nonnegative radial function given by Proposition 3.3 such that that $u_0 = 1$ on ∂B_R. Then, by Proposition 3.4, it is enough to prove that u_0 is not a minimizer for $S_q(r)$ when $q > Q(R)$.

First let us move this symmetric configuration in the x_1 direction. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we denote $x_t = (x_1 - t, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ and define

$$U(t)(x) = u_0(x_t)$$

Observe that U vanishes in $A_t := B_r(te_1)$ (the ball with center te_1 and radius r) a subset of B_R of the same measure of B_r for all t small.

Consider the function

$$h(t) = \frac{f(t)}{g(t)}$$

where

$$f(t) = \int_{B_R} |\nabla U|^p + U^p \,\mathrm{d}x \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) = \left(\int_{\partial B_R} U^q \mathrm{d}S\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}.$$

We observe that $h(0) = 0$ and since h is an even function, we have $h'(0) = 0$. Now,

$$h''(0) = \left.\frac{f''g^2 - fgg'' - 2f'gg' - 2fgg'}{g^3}\right|_{t=0}.$$

Next we compute these terms. First, since u_0 is the first eigenfunction of (1.3) with $q = p$ and $u_0 = 1$ on ∂B_R we get

$$f(0) = S_p(r)|\partial B_R| \quad \text{and} \quad g(0) = |\partial B_R|^{\frac{p}{q}}.$$

Thus, by Gauss–Green's Theorem and using the fact that u_0 is radial, we get

$$f'(0) = -\int_{B_R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left(|\nabla u_0|^p + u_0^p\right) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial B_R} (|\nabla u_0|^p + u_0^p)\nu_1 \mathrm{d}S = 0.$$

Again, since u_0 is radial,

$$g'(0) = \frac{p}{q}\left(\int_{\partial B_R} u^q \mathrm{d}S\right)^{\frac{p}{q}-1}\left(\int_{\partial B_R} \frac{\partial u^q}{\partial x_1}\mathrm{d}S\right) = 0.$$

Finally, using that $u_0 = 1$ on ∂B_R, we obtain

$$g''(0) = p|\partial B_R|^{\frac{p}{q}-1}\int_{\partial B_R}(q-1)\left(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x_1^2}\,\mathrm{d}S$$

and, by the Gauss–Green's Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} f''(0) &= p\int_{B_R}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\frac{\partial|\nabla u_0|^2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{p}\frac{\partial u_0^p}{\partial x_1}\right)\mathrm{d}x \\ &= p\int_{\partial B_R}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\frac{\partial|\nabla u_0|^2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{p}\frac{\partial u_0^p}{\partial x_1}\right)\nu_1\,\mathrm{d}S. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} h''(0) = \frac{p}{|\partial B_R(0)|^{p/q}}\Bigg[&\int_{\partial B_R}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\frac{\partial|\nabla u_0|^2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{p}\frac{\partial u_0^p}{\partial x_1}\right)\nu_1\,\mathrm{d}S \\ &- S_p(r)\int_{\partial B_R}(q-1)\left(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x_1^2}\,\mathrm{d}S\Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since u_0 is radial, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h''(0) = \frac{p}{N|\partial B_R(0)|^{p/q}}\Bigg[&\int_{\partial B_R}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\frac{\partial|\nabla u_0|^2}{\partial\nu} + \frac{1}{p}\frac{\partial u_0^p}{\partial\nu}\right)\mathrm{d}S \\ &- S_p(r)\int_{\partial B_R}(q-1)|\nabla u_0|^2 + \Delta u_0\,\mathrm{d}S\Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by definition, $u_0(x) = u_0(|x|)$ and α satisfies

$$(s^{N-1}|u_0'|^{p-1}u_0')' = s^{N-1}u_0^{p-1} \quad \forall s > r$$

with $u_0(R) = 0$ and $u_0(r) = 0$, moreover, by Proposition 3.3, we have

$$u_0'(s)^{p-1} = S_p(r)u_0(s)^{p-1} \quad \forall s > r.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\frac{\partial|\nabla u_0|^2}{\partial\nu} + \frac{1}{p}\frac{\partial u_0^p}{\partial\nu} = \frac{S_p(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{p-1}\left(1 - \frac{N-1}{R}S_p(r)\right) + S_p(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_p(r)\left[(q-1)|\nabla u_0|^2 + \Delta u_0\right] = (q-1)S_p(r)^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} &+ \frac{S_p(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{p-1}\left(1 - \frac{N-1}{R}S_p(r)\right) \\ &+ \frac{N-1}{R}S_p(r)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$h''(0) = \frac{pS_p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{N|\partial B_R|^{\frac{p}{q}-1}}\left[1 - (q-1)S_p(r)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} - \frac{N-1}{R}S_p(r)\right].$$

Thus, if $q > Q(R)$ we get that $h''(0) < 0$ and so 0 is a strict local maxima of ψ. So we have proved that

$$S_q(r) = h(0) > h(t) \geq S_q(B_r(te_1))$$

for all t small. Therefore a symmetric configuration is not optimal. $\square$

To finish the paper we prove Proposition 1.5.

Proof of Proposition 1.5. We proceed in two step.

Step 1. First we show that, for $R > r$, $S_p(R, r) = S_p(r)$ verifies the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial S_p}{\partial R} = -\frac{N-1}{R} S_p + 1 - (p-1) S_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \tag{3.20}$$

with the condition

$$S_p|_{R=r} = +\infty.$$

Again we consider $u_0(x) = u_0(|x|)$ the nonnegative radial function given by Proposition 3.3. Thus, for all $R > r$, we get

$$\begin{cases} (p-1)\left(u_0'\right)^{p-2} u_0'' + \dfrac{N-1}{R}(u_0')^{p-1} = u_0^{p-1}, \\ u_0'(R)^{p-1} = S_p u_0(R)^{p-1}, \\ u_0(r) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$S_p = \left(\frac{u_0'(R)}{u_0(R)}\right)^{p-1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_p}{\partial R} &= (p-1)\left(\frac{u_0'(R)}{u_0(R)}\right)^{p-2} \frac{u_0''(R) u_0(R) - u_0'(R)^2}{u_0(R)^2} \\ &= (p-1)\left(\frac{u_0'(R)}{u_0(R)}\right)^{p-2} \frac{u_0''(R)}{u_0(R)} - (p-1) S_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\ &= (p-1)\frac{u_0'(R)^{p-2} u_0''(R)}{u_0(R)^{p-1}} - (p-1) S_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{N-1}{R} S_p - (p-1) S_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since (by definition) $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} \equiv 1$ on ∂B_r, we get that $u'(r) = 1$. Then

$$\lim_{R \to r} S_p = \lim_{R \to r} \left(\frac{u_0'(R)}{u_0(R)}\right)^{p-1} = +\infty.$$

Now, it is easy to check that $\lim_{R \to r} Q(R) = 1^-$.

Step 2. Finally, we prove that

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} Q(R) = p.$$

We begin differentiating (3.20) to obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_p}{\partial R^2} = \frac{N-1}{R^2} S_p - \frac{N-1}{R} \frac{\partial S_p}{\partial R} - p S_p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{\partial S_p}{\partial R}.$$

Then, since $S_p > 0$, at any critical point ($S_p' = 0$) we have that $S_p'' > 0$. Thus, S_p has at most one critical point, which is a minimum. If S_p has a minimum, then there exist $R_0 > r$ such that $S_p'(R_0) = 0$. Moreover, since $S_p'(R) \neq 0$ for any $R \neq R_0$ and $S_p \to +\infty$ as $R \to r$ and by (3.20), we get that $S_p' < 0$ for all $r < R < R_0$ and $S_p' > 0$ for all $R > R_0$. Thus, using again (3.20) we have that $S_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}} < \frac{1}{p-1}$ for all $R > R_0$. Then S_p is strictly increasing as a function of R and bonded for all $R > R_0$. Consequently $S_p' \to 0$ as $R \to +\infty$. It follows, by (3.20), that $S_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \to \frac{1}{p-1}$ as $R \to +\infty$. On the other hand using (1.6) and (3.20) we see that

$$S_p = (Q(R) - p)S_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}}. \tag{3.21}$$

So, if S_p has a minimum, we get that $Q(R) > p$ for all $R > R_0$ and $Q(R) \to p^+$ as $R \to +\infty$. Now, If S_p has not critical points so $S_p' \neq 0$ for all $R > r$ and using that $S_p \to +\infty$ as $R \to r$ and (3.20) we get that $S_p' < 0$ for all $R > r$. Consequently, in this case, S_p is strictly decreasing and therefore $S_p' \to 0$ as $R \to +\infty$ and by (3.20) we have that $S_p \to \frac{1}{p-1}$ as $R \to +\infty$. Then, if S_p has not critical points, we get $Q(R) < p$ and $Q(R) \to p^-$ as $R \to +\infty$. □

Acknowledgements I want to thank J. Fernández Bender for his throughout reading of the manuscript that help us to improve the presentation of paper.

References

1. Walter Allegretto and Yin Xi Huang, *A Picone's identity for the p-Laplacian and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **32** (1998), no. 7, 819–830.
2. Julián Fernández Bonder, Pablo Groisman, and Julio D. Rossi, *Optimization of the first Steklov eigenvalue in domains with holes: a shape derivative approach*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **186** (2007), no. 2, 341–358.
3. Julián Fernández Bonder and Julio D. Rossi, *A nonlinear eigenvalue problem with indefinite weights related to the Sobolev trace embedding*, Publ. Mat. **46** (2002), no. 1, 221–235.
4. Jorge García Melián and José Sabina de Lis, *On the perturbation of eigenvalues for the p-Laplacian*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **332** (2001), no. 10, 893–898.
5. A. Henrot and M. Pierre, *Optimization de forme: un analyse géométric. mathematics and applications*, vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, 2005.
6. O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural′ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967.
7. Enrique J. Lami Dozo and Olaf Torné, *Symmetry and symmetry breaking for minimizers in the trace inequality*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **7** (2005), no. 6, 727–746.
8. Gary M. Lieberman, *Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **12** (1988), no. 11, 1203–1219.
9. Sandra Martínez and Julio D. Rossi, *Isolation and simplicity for the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian with a nonlinear boundary condition*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **7** (2002), no. 5, 287–293.
10. M. W. Steklov, *Sur les problémes fondamentaux en physique mathématique*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **19** (1902), 445–490.
11. J. L. Vázquez, *A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Appl. Math. Optim. **12** (1984), no. 3, 191–202.

Leandro M. Del Pezzo
Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires,
Pabellón I, Ciudad Universitaria (1428), Buenos Aires, Argentina.
E-mail address: `ldpezzo@dm.uba.ar`